

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/589,514 | 06/08/2000 | Allan Herrod | 4842.0068-01 | 1287 | | |
| 75 | 7590 11/16/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| ALAN ISRAEL, ESQ | | | FRANKLIN, JAMARA ALZAIDA | | | |
| Kirschstein, Ottinger, Israel & Schiffmiller, P.C. 489 Fifth Avenue | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| New YorK, NY | New YorK, NY 10017-6105 | | | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|---|---|
| Advisory Action | 09/589,514 | HERROD ET AL. | |
| Authory Modell | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| • | Jamara A. Franklin | 2876 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 01 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl | ation. A proper repl h places the applica | y to a ation in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailinb) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anoevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final | on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (s | see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or sir | mplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancellNOTE: | ng a corresponding number of fi | inally rejected claim | S. |
| $3. \square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed | amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec | | dered but does NO | T place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were | e newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo | | | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | • | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>76-79</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr | roved or b) disapproved by t | he Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)_1 | 104. | |
| 10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> | SUPER | MICHAEL G. LEE VISORY PATENT EXA HNOLOGY CENTER 2 | MINER |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner submits that the Pieterse and Wang references read upon the claimed limitations.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 76-79 remain rejected as set forth in the final rejection of paper no. 504.